

A note on the diameters of carved stone balls

Murdo Macdonald*

Despite their aesthetic value (Macdonald 2000, 10–11) and their mathematical interest (Sutton 2001, 20–1), the function of carved stone balls is unclear. A large subset of these balls is characterized by a diameter of ‘about 70mm’ (Marshall 1979, 40). This tendency towards a standard diameter can be considered in terms of the megalithic yard. Taking 70mm as our measure, the diameter of one carved stone ball approximates to one-twelfth of a megalithic yard. If we take the measure of the megalithic yard to be 2.72ft (Thom 1962, 246; see also Thom 1955), which approximates to 829mm, one-twelfth of this is just short of 69.1mm. Note also that one-twelfth of a megalithic yard is also 3.33 (recurring) megalithic inches (taking Thom’s measure of 40 megalithic inches in a megalithic yard; Thom 1969, 77). These observations, if borne out by measurement, relate carved stone balls to the dimensions of both stone circles and cup-and-ring marks.

Thus Marshall’s figure of ‘about 70mm’ should, perhaps, be adjusted by less than a

millimetre, to ‘about 69.1mm’. If 69.1mm does turn out to be a more accurate figure for the average diameter of this class of carved stone balls, the case is strengthened for these balls functioning as, or in relation to, standards of measurement.

REFERENCES

- Macdonald, M 2000 *Scottish Art*. London, Thames & Hudson.
- Marshall, D N 1979 ‘Carved stone balls’, *Proc Soc Antiq Scot* 108, 40–72.
- Sutton, D 2001 *Platonic and Archimedean Solids*. Presteigne, Wooden Books.
- Thom, A 1955 ‘A statistical examination of the Megalithic sites in Britain’, *J Roy Stat Soc* 118, 275–95.
- Thom, A 1962 ‘The Megalithic unit of length’, *J Roy Stat Soc* 125, 243–51.
- Thom, A 1969 ‘The geometry of cup-and-ring marks’, *Trans Ancient Monuments Soc* 16, 76–87.

* History of Scottish Art, School of Fine Art, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN