

FICHE 1: CONTENTS

M EDMONDS, A SHERIDAN & R TIPPING	Survey and excavation at Creag na Caillich, Killin, Perthshire	A4-11
H K MURRAY, J C MURRAY, A N SHEPHERD & I A G SHEPHERD	Evidence of agricultural activity of the later second millennium BC at Rattray, Aberdeenshire	B1-E4
C E LOWE	Excavations of plough-truncated features at Loanleven, Perthshire	F1-7
I ARMIT & A DUNWELL	Excavations at Cnip, Sites 2 & 3, Lewis, 1989	G1-10

CREAG NA CAILLICH

EDMONDS, SHERIDAN & TIPPING

CONTENTS

Table 1b:	Composition of surface samples	1:A5
Table 2:	Character of debitage	1:A6
Table 3:	Frequency of flake categories	1:A7
Table 4:	Technological characteristics of worked stone	1:A8
Table 5:	Character of assemblage, Site 3; weight of small chips, etc. from each site unit	1:A9
Table 6:	Flake categories from Site 4	1:A10-11

Site	Sample	Wt (g)	Prelim shaping	Mass reduction	Thinning	Unclass
E scree	A (100)	1242	69	24	-	7
E scree	B (100)	2018	58	30	-	12
E scree	C (100)	2885	77	16	-	7
E scree	D (100)	3273	68	30	-	2
W scree	A (100)	1918	59	31	-	10
W scree	B (100)	2614	80	14	3	3
W scree	C (100)	3523	72	20	1	7
E quarry	A (100)	2424	67	30	-	3
E quarry	B (100)	3006	84	15	-	1

Creag na Caillich Table 1b

Composition of surface samples from the Eastern and Western  
scree, and the exposures at the Eastern quarry

(Table 1B)

Sample square	No.	Wt (g)	Prelim shaping %	Mass reduction %	Trimming/thinning %	Chips/spalls
A2	9	114	34	59	7	absent
B1	25	523	14	62	24	present
B2	2	40	-	100	-	present
B7	38	1288	20	48	32	present
B8	436	8989	16	36	48	present
B9	39	701	-	44	56	present
C1	67	1198	10	29	61	present
C2	31	972	8	45	47	present
C5	384	9522	12	21	67	present
C7	32	1150	-	39	61	present
C8	20	521	9	55	45	present
C9	17	896	18	49	33	present
C10	169	2378	14	26	60	present
C13	2	108	-	-	100	absent
D1	1	38	-	100	-	absent
D2	9	436	-	70	30	present
D4	6	409	30	50	20	absent
D8	46	1790	11	32	57	present
D9	Site 4.	See table 6				
D10	67	3688	9	30	61	present

Creag na Caillich Table 2

Character of debitage recovered from sample squares

(Table 2)

Spit	No	Wt (g)	% A	% B	% C	% D	% Unclass	% Hinge fractures	% Platform preparation
A	59	1876	68	16	5	-	11	54	8
B	32	1422	60	12	4	-	24	43	11
C	42	1987	62	22	6	-	10	66	6
D	219	3143	72	20	3	-	5	58	10
E	387	4543	80	15	-	-	5	50	8
F	312	3247	74	12	8	-	6	62	2
G	191	2889	70	18	4	-	8	59	6

Creag na Caillich Table 3

Frequency of flake categories from Site 1, Eastern quarry

(Table 3)

Spit	No	Wt (g)	% A	% B	% C	% D	% Unclass	% Hinge fractures	% Platform preparation
A	14	824	50	37	-	-	13	56	10
B	82	2312	50	27	12	-	10	60	6
C	244	4818	68	18	-	-	14	65	3
D	263	3927	61	24	3	-	12	55	4
E	303	5165	58	24	5	5	8	68	10
F	392	5024	70	22	-	-	8	64	1
G	289	3896	65	20	11	4	-	54	5
H	225	3004	72	14	-	-	14	70	8

Creag na Caillich Table 4

Technological characteristics of worked stone from Site 2,  
Western quarry (see Table 1a for key)

(Table 4)

1	Site square	No	Wt (g)	A	B	C	D	Unclass	Hinge fractures	Prepared platforms
	2A	5	456	2	1	1	1	-	2	1
	2B	7	344	2	2	1	2	-	3	2
	2C	91	1767	14	24	32	18	3	34	12
	2D	170	3055	25	37	48	44	16	48	29
	2E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2F	42	1425	8	14	8	12	-	24	12
	2G	504	7813	64	140	121	150	19	238	79
	2H	90	3392	12	18	23	32	5	40	21
	2I	56	514	9	15	14	18	-	19	12
	2J	385	6489	34	50	147	142	12	103	35
	2K	470	5864	48	44	160	198	20	100	36
	2L	50	440	9	11	12	18	-	27	20
	2M	30	2884	4	8	8	10	-	17	10
	2N	48	2533	6	9	13	20	-	16	19
	2O	263	9099	29	55	69	101	9	102	88
	2P	46	987	6	8	12	20	-	18	16

2	Site unit	Wt (g)	Site unit	Wt (g)
	A	175	I	42
	B	104	J	329
	C	682	K	1711
	D	710	L	39
	E	-	M	284
	F	478	N	81
	G	900	O	404
	H	274	P	118

(Table 5)

Creag na Caillich

(1) Character of assemblage from Site 3: Eastern working floor (see Table 1a for key); (2) weight of small chips, spalls and shattered material from each site unit

Square	No	Wt (g)	A	B	C	D	Unclass	Hinge fracture	Platform preparation
1ABCD	12	35	1	3	5	7	-	4	4
2ABCD	49	569	8	11	13	17	-	20	18
3ABCD	76	2386	10	10	24	30	2	32	24
4ABCD	131	2912	13	20	32	54	12	46	27
5CD	28	728	2	6	8	10	2	11	9
6BCD	22	627	-	3	8	11	-	8	7
7BCD	40	1020	7	4	9	18	2	14	12
8ACD	11	121	1	1	3	6	-	2	2
9ABD	42	712	7	12	7	15	1	10	17
10ABCD	50	2146	6	5	9	28	2	19	16
11ABD	34	754	-	9	5	19	1	18	10
12AC	50	546	-	4	21	23	2	17	13
13ABCD	50	376	3	3	12	26	6	23	9
14ABD	13	215	-	-	1	10	2	4	2
15ABCD	22	362	5	4	7	6	-	4	4
16ABCD	43	960	5	5	13	20	-	18	12
17ABCD	51	1422	10	8	11	20	2	21	16
18A	12	318	1	1	3	7	-	5	2
19ABCD	29	796	8	3	4	14	-	15	9
20ABCD	74	3059	9	8	33	22	3	30	20
21ABCD	37	1424	5	8	10	10	2	17	9
22ABCD	66	1703	4	14	22	20	6	23	16
23ABCD	95	1302	7	12	36	30	10	37	29
14AC	80	673	8	8	24	40	-	31	19
15ABCD	28	1652	2	6	8	12	-	9	11
16ABCD	26	773	1	1	10	14	-	10	6
27ABCD	57	936	7	3	17	26	4	23	18
28ABCD	53	1125	12	5	19	13	4	19	20
29ABCD	133	2582	12	13	59	44	5	54	32
30AC	46	1598	6	4	12	24	-	22	18

(Table 6a)

Creag na Caillich. Flake categories from Site 4 (layer 4);

ABCD = 4 x 250 mm squares (see plan)

1: A10



Square	No	Wt (g)	A	B	C	D	Unclass	Hinge fracture	Platform preparation
1ABCD	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2ABCD	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
3ABCD	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
4ABCD	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
5ABCD	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
6AC	5	711	1	.	1	3	.	2	.
7ABCD	30	378	2	6	9	13	.	9	.
8ABCD	47	975	4	4	13	24	2	20	9
9ABCD	63	1157	3	3	18	33	4	35	22
10ABCD	29	657	.	.	8	16	.	18	14
11A	8	189	.	.	.	7	1	2	3
12C	1	222	.	.	.	1	.	.	1
13ABCD	55	947	2	9	18	25	1	21	20
14ABCD	34	488	3	3	12	16	.	12	10
15ACD	14	1150	.	2	9	3	.	5	3
16ABC	9	91	1	1	1	5	1	1	1
17BCD	8	232	.	.	3	5	.	3	2
18AC	3	92	.	.	3	.	.	.	.
19ABC	64	1649	7	11	19	25	2	38	18
20ABD	31	1227	2	8	4	17	.	15	12
21ABCD	39	1159	.	.	18	20	1	11	17
22ABCD	16	548	.	2	3	10	1	7	3
23BCD	10	39	.	1	1	8	.	3	2
24AC	4	171	.	.	2	2	.	1	1
25ACD	26	265	4	4	8	10	.	16	11
26ABCD	33	1783	.	3	12	17	.	18	10
27ABCD	63	3634	9	8	26	20	.	29	17
28ABCD	26	730	1	.	11	14	1	11	11
29ABCD	51	834	.	9	12	28	2	20	20
30AC	28	514	2	2	12	14	.	16	12

(Table 6b)

Creag na Caillich. Flake categories from Site 4 (layer 5);

ABCD = 4 x 250 mm squares (see plan)

1: A11